1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ANTHONY RAEHEIM GRAHAM, 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-99-2551 LKK JFM P 12 VS. GEORGE GALAZA, Warden, et al., 13 14 Respondents. **ORDER** 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has timely filed a notice of appeal of 17 this court's May 20, 2005 denial of his application for a writ of habeas corpus. Before petitioner 18 can appeal this decision, a certificate of appealability must issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. 19 App. P. 22(b).<sup>1</sup> 20 A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the 21 applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. 22 § 2253(c)(2). The court must either issue a certificate of appealability indicating which issues 23 satisfy the required showing or must state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue. 24 Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). 25 On June 9, 2005, petitioner filed a request for a forty-five day extension of time to file a 26

request for a certificate of appealability. Good cause appearing, the request will be denied.

## Case 2:99-cv-02551-LKK-JFM Document 43 Filed 07/18/05 Page 2 of 2

1	For the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's January 25, 2005 findings and
2	recommendations, petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional
3	right. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. Petitioner's June 9, 2005 request for extension of time is denied;
5	2. A certificate of appealability should not issue in this action; and
6	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to process petitioner's appeal to the United
7	States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.
8	DATED: July 18, 2005.
9	/s/Lawrence K. Karlton
10	/grah2551.830den
11	/glan2331.830den
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	